Andrew I. Silfen (pro hac vice) Beth M. Brownstein (pro hac vice) Jordana L. Renert (pro hac vice)  ARENT FOX LLP  1301 Avenue of the Americas, 42nd Floor New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 484-3900 Facsimile: (212) 484-3990			
		Email: andrew.silfen@arentfox.com	m
		jordana.renert@arentfox.com	
		Aram Ordubegian (SBN 185142)  ARENT FOX LLP  55 Second Street, 21st Floor San Francisco, CA 94105	
Telephone: (415) 757-5500			
Email: aram.ordubegian@arentfox.co	om		
Counsel for BOKF, NA, solely in its capacity as Indenture Trustee			
UNITED STATES	S BANKRUPTCY COURT		
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
In re-	Case No. 19-30088 (DM)		
PG&E CORPORATION,	Chapter 11		
- and —	(Lead Case)		
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	(Jointly Administered)		
Debtors.			
	Beth M. Brownstein (pro hac vice) Jordana L. Renert (pro hac vice) ARENT FOX LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 42nd Floor New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 484-3900 Facsimile: (212) 484-3990 Email: andrew.silfen@arentfox.com		

ARENT FOX LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation JOINDER OF BOKF, NA AS INDENTURE 2 ☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric TRUSTEE TO LIMITED OBJECTION OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF Company 3 UNSECURED CREDITORS TO MOTION ☑ Affects both Debtors OF DEBTORS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 4 \* All papers shall be filed in the Lead 105(A) AND 362 FOR INTERIM AND FINAL Case, No. 19-30088 (DM). 5 ORDERS ESTABLISHING NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES AND APPROVING 6 **RESTRICTIONS ON CERTAIN** TRANSFERS OF STOCK OF, AND CLAIMS 7 **AGAINST, THE DEBTORS** 8 Hearing 9 Date: February 27, 2019 Time: 9:30 a.m. (Pacific time) Place: Courtroom 17 10 450 Golden Gate Ave, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 11 12 BOKF, NA ("BOKF"), in its capacity as successor indenture trustee (the "Trustee") 13 under the Indentures dated as of (i) March 11, 2004 (as supplemented, amended and restated), 14 (ii) November 29, 2017 and (iii) August 6, 2018 pursuant to which Pacific Gas and Electric 15 Company's senior notes (the "Senior Notes") were issued, by and through its counsel, Arent Fox 16 LLP, hereby joins in the limited objection of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors 17 [Docket No. 605] (the "Creditors' Committee Objection") to the Motion of the Debtors Pursuant 18 to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(A) and 363 for Interim and Final Orders Establishing Notification 19 Procedures and Approving Restrictions on Certain Transfers of Stock of and Claims Against, the 20 Debtors [Docket No. 10] (the "Motion")<sup>1</sup>. In support hereof, BOKF respectfully states as 21 follows: 22 **JOINDER** 23 As trustee for holders of the Senior Notes in the aggregate outstanding principal amount 24 of approximately \$17.525 billion, BOKF objects to the aspect of the Motion seeking approval of 25 the Claims Procedures. The proposed procedures will not take effect until the Debtors or another 26

 $1\ Capitalized\ terms\ used,\ but\ not\ defined,\ herein\ shall\ have\ the\ meanings\ ascribed\ to\ them\ in\ the\ Motion.$ 

ARENT FOX LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

27

28

- 2 -

Plan Proponent decides to pursue a plan of reorganization contemplating the potential utilization

of section 382(1)(5) of the Tax Code ("Qualifying Plan"). Granting prospective approval of the

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 614 Filed: 02/22/19 Entered: 02/22/19 17:09:56 Page 2 of 3

future implementation of Claims Procedures, if and when a Qualifying Plan is pursued, is potentially unnecessary, unwarranted and premature. While the Claims Procedures may never go into effect, the relief requested today may cast a shadow of uncertainty, create an overhang on the market and trading of the Senior Notes and disrupt the trading or liquidity of the Senior Notes.

Ultimately, if it turns out that there is no need to protect the NOLs and other tax attributes by restricting claims trading or implementing the Sell-Down procedures, there is no rationale to grant such relief now. If it turns out that a Qualifying Plan is pursued, and that the Claims Procedures are necessary to preserve the Debtors' or Plan Proponent's ability to use the NOLs and other tax attributes for the benefit of the Debtors' creditors pursuant to Qualifying Plan, the Debtors or another Plan Proponent can seek appropriate relief at that time. There is no need for the premature relief that the Debtors now seek.

For the reasons herein and those set forth in the Creditors' Committee Objection, BOKF hereby requests that the Court deny, without prejudice, approval of the Claims Procedures at this time or, in the alternative, continue the hearing on that portion of the relief sought to a date when the Debtors or another Plan Proponent determine to pursue a Qualifying Plan.

Dated: February 22, 2019

ARENT FOX LLP

By: <u>/s/ Aram Ordubegian</u>

Aram Ordubegian (SBN 185142) Andrew I. Silfen (*pro hac vice*) Beth M. Brownstein (*pro hac vice*) Jordana L. Renert (*pro hac vice*)

Counsel for BOKF, NA, solely in its capacity as Indenture Trustee

ARENT FOX LLP

LOS ANGELES

- 3 -

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 614 Filed: 02/22/19 Entered: 02/22/19 17:09:56 Page 3 of 3